

ARTZ & ARTZ P.C.
Law Offices

Intellectual Property and Technology Related Causes

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 27 2004

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

Fax: (248) 223-9522

Phone: (248) 223-9500

TO: Examiner Phung Nguyen,
Tech Center 3616
FIRM: USPTO
FAX NO.: (703) 872-9306

=====

From: R. Scott Vincent

Date: October 27, 2004

Our File No.: 203-0096 (FGT 1863 PA)

Your Ref. No.: 10/605,281

Comments: Attached is response to the Office Action dated
8/3/04.

Total Pages (incl. Cover sheet): 9

The information contained in this facsimile message may be confidential and/or legally privileged information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any copying, dissemination or distribution of confidential or privileged information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and we will arrange for the return of the facsimile. Thank you.

If there are any problems during transmission, please call:
(248) 223-9500.

Donna Kraft
(Operator)

28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250, SOUTHFIELD, MICHIGAN 48034
TELEPHONE: (248) 223-9500 -- FACSIMILE: (248) 223-9522

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Schondorf, et al.

Serial No.: 10/605,281

Group Art Unit: 3616

Filed: Sept 19, 2003

Examiner: Nguyen, Phung

For: WIRELESS SEATBELT BUCKLE SWITCH HARVESTING ENERGY
AND METHOD THEREFOR

Attorney Docket No.: 203-0096 (FGT 1863 PA)

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
OCT 27 2004**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a))**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being transmitted by facsimile to (703) 872-9306 (Centralized Facsimile Number).


SignatureDate: 10/27/04R. Scott Vincent**AMENDMENT and REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants submit this Amendment and Request for Reconsideration in response to the Office Action dated August 3, 2004. This response is timely because it is being filed within the three-month time period allowed for a response.